

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,667	07/12/2001		Katsutoshi Nishimoto	109498	2099
25944	7590	07/28/2005		EXAM	INER
OLIFF & B P.O. BOX 19	ERRIDGE, P	CABRERA	CABRERA, ZOILA E		
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				PAPER NUMBER
				2125	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/902,667	NISHIMOTO ET AL.:					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Zoila E. Cabrera	2125					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	09 June 2005.						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠							
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,10,11,18 and 19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 2,3,10,11,18 and 19 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
:							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date (c) 9105	6) Other:						
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Off	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050722					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 2-3, 10-11, 18-19 are presented for consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 2-3, 10-11, 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inui et al. (US 5,204,821) and Andrade, JR. et al. (US 2003/0109950 A1) and further in view of Akihiro, Oyama (JP 11312197 A).

Regarding claims 2, 10 and 18, **Inui** discloses a parts procurement system comprising:

virtual production line preparation means for preparing a virtual production line in which objects manufactured thereon are virtually placed in sequence based on long-term production plan data covering variable production of the objects and fixed production plan data covering fixed production of the objects (Col. 1, lines 17-28; Col. 2, lines 57-62; Col. 4, lines 13-29); and parts ordering means for determining parts and the number of the parts necessary for manufacturing the objects on the virtual production line prepared by the virtual production line preparation means (Col. 1, lines 48-52; Fig. 6(1)), as well as calculating the parts ordering timing based on a production timing of the objects and parts delivery lead time (Col. 4, lines 41-47; Col. 5, lines 48-52; Col. 7, lines 27-28).

Inui discloses most of the limitations of claims 2, 10 and 18. However, **Inui** does not disclose long term production plan data that is more than one month. But **Andrade** discloses a method and system for planning operation in manufacturing plants wherein

Application/Control Number: 09/902,667

Art Unit: 2125

long term production plan data is more than one month (Page 1, [0002], lines 1-3; [0007], lines 12-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the parts supply system of **Inui** with the system for planning operations in a manufacturing plant of **Andrade** because it would provide a planning system to optimally allocate equipment capacity to expected orders in a multiple production line manufacturing plant.

Inui and Andrade disclose most of the limitations of claims 2, 10 and 18 above but fail to disclose some limitations of claims 2, 10 and 18 above and the limitations of claims 3, 11, and 19. However, Akihiro discloses such limitations as follows:

As for claims 2, 10 and 18,

correction means for correcting the virtual production line prepared by the virtual production line preparation means according to actual production results of the objects, wherein the parts ordering means places a parts order after calculating the parts ordering timing based on the corrected virtual production line (Page 6-7, [0063], i.e., In arrangement processing, it decides on the delivery need day of each subunit from this changed lead time of each routing of the schedule expansion master 105 classified by model, and MRP expansion of the bill-of-materials master 101 is performed in each subunit unit, and it decides on the date of order and the delivery date of each part article after scheduling modification).

As for claims 3, 11 and 19,

Application/Control Number: 09/902,667

Art Unit: 2125

• the correction means changes the virtual production line by correcting <u>at least</u> one parameter out of a production sequence change, a design change of the object, a production progress, and a parts procurement *lead time* (Page 6-7, [0063], i.e., In arrangement processing, it decides on the delivery need day of each subunit from this changed lead time of each routing of the schedule expansion master 105 classified by model).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the parts supply system of **Inui** and **Andrade** with the schedule plan and preparation managing system of **Akihiro** because it would provide an improved system wherein an schedule plan can be corrected and changed easily and thereby decide the order days of the respective parts and executing a preparation processing (Abstract, Akihiro).

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zoila E. Cabrera whose telephone number is 571-272-3738. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. EST (every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached on (571) 272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Any

Art Unit: 2125

inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600.

Zoila Cabrera Patent Examiner July 22, 2005